



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/544,000	04/06/2000	David A. Cathey	3976US (98-0063)	7982
7590 11/15/2004			EXAMINER	
James R Duzan			ABDULSELAM, ABBAS I	
Trask Britt & Rossa PO Box 2550		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Salt Lake City, UT 84110			2674	16
			DATE MAILED: 11/15/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extension of une may be writing the desired projection of 3° CFR 1.136(s). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed Extension of une may be writing of the provided and the provided of the provided of the provided for reply specified above is lice share intry (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thinty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thinty (30) days, will be considered timely. If NO period for reply specified above is lice share intry (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set of extended period for reply will, by adultic, cause the application to become ARANDONED (35 U.S. 5, 13.5). Application is provided in the mailing date of this communication, men if timely filed, may reduce any extended provided and advantage of the communication, men if timely filed, may reduce any extended period to malpication its in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1,2,5,8,9,19 and 22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application. 4a) Claim(s) 1,2,5,8,9,19 and 22 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Abbas Abdusle alam 2074 - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. If the period for reply specified above is tere than they (0) days, a reply within the shalled yn intervent of they (3) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is tere than they (0) days, a reply within the shalled yn intervent of they (3) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is tere than they (0) days, a reply within the shalled yn intervent of they (3) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is tere than they (0) days, a reply within the shalled yn intervent of they (3) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is tere than they day days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is tere than they (0) days, a reply within the shalled yn intervent of they (4) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is tere than they (0) days, a reply within the shalled yn intervent of the shall days and the secondary of the shall days and the shall day and the shall d		09/544,000	CATHEY, DAVID A.				
- The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estractions of term may be available under the provisions of JCTR 1.136(s). In no event, however, may a raphy be timely filed ### The period for reply specified above be less than filely (00) days, a reply within the stablatory minimum of thinty (00) days will be considered intedy. ### The period for reply specified above be less than filely (00) days, a reply within the stablatory minimum of thinty (00) days will be considered intedy. ### The period for reply specified above be treated and the period for reply will be period for reply specified and reply within the stablatory minimum of thinty (00) days will be considered intedy. ### The period for reply is specified above be treated and the period of the stablatory minimum of thinty (00) days will be considered intedy. ### The period for reply is specified above be treated and the period of the stablatory minimum of thinty (00) days will be considered intedy. ### The period for reply is specified above be treated and the period of the stablatory minimum of thinty (00) days will be considered intedy. ### The period for reply is specified and the period of the stablatory minimum of thinty (00) days will be considered intedy. ### The period for reply is specified above to reply will be reply will be above to the reply will be considered to be communication. ### The period for reply specified and the period of the period of the period and the period of the communication. ### The period for reply specified and the period of	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Editoration of them may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(b). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled If the period for reply sepecified above, the maximum statutory protein the statutory minimum of thinty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply sepecified above, the maximum statutory protein the statutory minimum of thinty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply sepecified above, the maximum statutory protein will apply and very leaving 150 (30 days) will be considered timely. If NO period for reply sepecified above, the maximum statutory protein will apply and very leaving 150 days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply sepecified above, the maximum statutory protein will apply and very leaving 150 days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is appelled above, the maximum statutory protein will be septimentally and the protein of the communication. Any poly received by the Critice tits that thrize months and it the maximum statutory minimum of the protein and plant term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(a). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on QB December 2003. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s)		Abbas i Abdulselam	2674				
THE MAILLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estanciano time may be available under the provision of 3 CPR 1.13(6). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SX (6) MCNTRS from the mailing date of this communication. of 3 CPR 1.13(6). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SX (6) MCNTRS from the mailing date of this communication. Publish the statistic principular of the communication of the commun	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 December 2003.</u> 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ This action is non-final. 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1.2.5.8.9.19 and 22</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>is/are withdrawn from consideration.</u> 5)□ Claim(s) <u>1.2.5.8.9.19 and 22</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) <u>is/are objected to.</u> 8)□ Claim(s) <u>1.2.5.8.9.19 and 22</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) <u>is/are objected to.</u> 8)□ Claim(s) <u>is/are objected to.</u> 8)□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)□ The drawing(s) filed on <u>is/are. a)</u> □ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)□ The cath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1)□ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2)□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3)□ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB00) Attachment(s) 1)□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3)□ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB00)	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 3 ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 ☐ Claim(s) 1.2.5.8.9.19 and 22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5 ☐ Claim(s) 1.2.5.8.9.19 and 22 is/are rejected. 7 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: al☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(e) 1) ☐ Notice of Partsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144) or PTO/58/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informatification is to the processor of t	Status						
3	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 L</u>	<u> December 2003</u> .					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	s action is non-final.					
Application of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.2.5.8.9.19 and 22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is				
4)	closed in accordance with the practice under l	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Disposition of Claims						
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4) Claim(s) 1,2,5,8,9,19 and 22 is/are pending in the application.						
Solution (S) 1.2.5.8.9.19 and 22 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of Profesperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	/ <u> </u>						
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Application Papers 9	· · · · - · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	··· _	•					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some columns have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 1 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	\cdot						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)	_					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P					

DETAILED ACTION •

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 12/08/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the cited reference Dreher (US Pat. No. 4,551,717) does not teach a key cap with respect to a first material having no electrical connection thereto including one of a black material and a dark-colored non-luminescent material. Applicant also argues that Dreher does not teach a second luminescent material different than the first material forming the identifying graphic symbol; the second luminescent material embedded within each key cap substantially throughout. However, as shown in the art rejection below, Dreher on the other hand teaches that the exact shape and configuration of the key body (10) and the key cap (11) can vary depending upon the configuration of the keyboard into which it is mounted to reflect the desired styling. Dreher also teaches that the top of the key is made of a clear plastic, glass or other transparent substance. Furthermore, Dreher teaches that the key cap (11) has a lens (12) through which a character display (40) will be visible. See col. 2, lines 3-9, 26-37 and 42-47.

2. In response to applicant's argument that the examiner's conclusion of obviousness is based upon improper hindsight reasoning, it must be recognized that any judgment on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstruction based upon hindsight reasoning. But so long as it takes into account only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made, and does not include knowledge gleaned only from the applicant's disclosure, such a reconstruction is proper. See In re McLaughlin, 443 F.2d 1392, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971).

3. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Fine, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and In re Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to employ Takami's (US Pat. No. 4,205,522) the tritium for illuminating the LCD display embedded in the key cap of Dreher because it will provide cheap natural back light source for displaying key designation and would readily understood by those skilled in the art that it would represent an alternative choice for a backlight, which advantageously does not require additional electrical power.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2 and 8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dreher (USPN 4551717).

In regard to claims 1 and 8-9, Dreher discloses a computer keyboard comprising: an enclosure member; plurality of depressible key switch (10) devices arrayed above said printed circuit board (co1.2, lines 60-65); a key cap (11) mounted atop each switch device of plurality, each key cap having at least

one identifying graphic symbol (20) (Fig. 3, col. 3, lines 2-3) formed on an upper surface thereof (see Figs 1-3) and luminescent material embedded within each key cap (11) (col. 1, lines 47-50). Further, Dreher teaches a key (10) for use on a terminal with a key cap top has a luminescent material (14) (LED) embedded with the key cap (11). However, Dreher does not teach specifically teach a key cap with respect to a first material having no electrical connection thereto including one of a black material and a dark-colored non-luminescent material. Dreher also does not specifically teach a second luminescent material different than the first material forming the identifying graphic symbol, the second luminescent material embedded within each key cap substantially throughout. Dreher on the other hand teaches that the exact shape and configuration of the key body (10) and the key cap (11) can vary depending upon the configuration of the keyboard into which it is mounted to reflect the desired styling. Dreher also teaches that the top of the key is made of a clear plastic, glass or other transparent substance. Furthermore, Dreher teaches that the key cap (11) has a lens (12) through which a character display (40) will be visible. See col. 2, lines 3-9, 26-37 and 42-47.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize Dreher's teaching of various materials, configurations and style to establish a preferred arrangement of the material, and type of material with respect to key cap and key body. One would have been motivated in view of the suggestion that a key cap with various materials, style and configurations equivalently meet the desired luminescent materials and their arrangements.

Moreover, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize Dreher's lens (12) and display (40) for the purpose of identifying a character. One would have been motivated in view of the suggestion that the display (40) as configured in Fig. 1 can be used to achieve the desired identification of graphic symbol.

As to claim 2, Dreher teaches keys for keyboards (col. 1, lines 5-7) and all features are well known in the art.

5. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dreher in view of Takami (US Pat. No. 4,205,522).

In regard to claim 5, Dreher has been discussed above. In addition, Dreher discloses computer keyboard comprising: an enclosure member with printed circuit board (Fig. 1), a plurality of depressible key switch devices arrayed above said printed circuit board (col.2, lines 60-65); a key cap (11) mounted atop each switch device of plurality, each key cap having at least one identifying graphic symbol (20) (Fig. 3, col. 3, lines 2-3) formed on an upper surface thereof (see Figs 1-3) and luminescent material embedded within each key cap.

Dreher does not teach a "luminescent material including tritium" within at least one symbol of key cap. However, Takami discloses an LCD device, which includes a luminescent phosphor, the luminescent phosphor includes a tritium (see the abstract). Thus, it would have been obvious to One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to employ the tritium for illuminating the LCD display embedded in the key cap of Dreher because it will provide cheap natural back light source for displaying key designation and would readily understood by those skilled in the art that it would represent an alternative choice for a backlight, which advantageously does not require additional electrical power.

6. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U. S. C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Dreher-Takami in view of Schneider et al. and further in view of Eventoff.

In regard to claim 22, Dreher-Takami has been discussed above. However, Dreher-Takami discloses computer keyboard comprising: an enclosure member with printed circuit board, a plurality of depressible key switch devices arrayed above said printed circuit board (col.2, lines 60-65); a key cap mounted atop each switch device of plurality, each key cap having at least one identifying graphic symbol formed on an upper surface thereof and luminescent material embedded within each key cap.

Dreher-Takami teaches a luminescent material including tritium within at least one symbol of key cap.

Dreher -Takami does not teach a "transmitter powered by chemical source of electrical power" and an "insulative material layer covered with circuit traces".

However, Schneider et al. discloses a wireless keyboard includes an enclosure member (403), a RF transmitter (410) mounted on enclosure member (403) (Fig. 6a-6C) and a chemical source of electrical power (col. 3, lines 29-32). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize the transmitter of Harrison et al. in the keyboard of Dreher-Takami to provide a remote keyboard with the same power source to power the keyboard to computer communication link. The combination of Dreher-Takami-Schneider fail to disclose an "insulative material layer covered with circuit traces". However, Eventoff however shows the concept of using an insulative material covered with circuit traces (col. 6, lines 13-20) is old.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to utilize the insulative material of Schneider incorporate in the keyboard of Dreher-Takami-Schneider to provide a remote keyboard having using luminescent keys for improved viewing in dark condition.

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stanek (US pat. No. 5,936,554) in view of Dreher (USPN 4551717). Schneider et al. (US Pat. No. 6,507,763 131), Eventoff (US Pat. No. 4,451,714).

In regard to claim 19, Stanek disclose a remote keyboard (10) comprising an enclosure member (10); a key cap (26) mounted atop each switch device of plurality of switch devices; each key cap having at least one identifying graphic symbol on a surface thereof (co1.4, lines 15); and luminescent embedded within a portion of each key (Fig. 3, col. 3, lines 6-10), lines 3639). Stank does not teach a key cap with respect to a first material having no electrical connection thereto including one of a black material and a dark-colored non-luminescent material. Stank also does not teach a second luminescent material different than the first material forming the identifying graphic symbol, the second luminescent material embedded within each key cap substantially throughout.

Dreher on the other hand teaches that the exact shape and configuration of the key body (10) and the key cap (11) can vary depending upon the configuration of the keyboard into which it is mounted to reflect the desired styling. Dreher also teaches that the top of the key is made of a clear plastic, glass or other transparent substance. Furthermore, Dreher teaches that the key cap (11) has a lens (12) through which a character display (40) will be visible. See col. 2, lines 3-9, 26-37 and 42-47.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Stanek's input device to incorporate Dreher's teaching of various materials, configurations and style with respect to key cap and key body. One would have been motivated in view of the suggestion that a key cap with various materials, style and configurations equivalently meet the

desired luminescent materials and their arrangements. The use of various materials, configurations and style with respect to key cap and key body helps function a keyboard.

Stank does not teach or suggest that a "chemical source of electrical power" and a "transmitter" and an "insulative material layer covered with circuit traces". Schneider et al. discloses a wireless keyboard includes an enclosure member (403), a RF transmitter (410) mounted on enclosure member (403) (Fig. 6a-6C) and a chemical source of electrical power (col. 3, lines 29-32).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to utilize the transmitter and chemical source of electrical power of Schneider et al. in the keyboard of Stanek to provide a wireless keyboard having interactively illuminating keys, each key is also to a command to dim the key.

The combination of Stanek- Schneider fail to teach or suggest an "insulative material layer covered with circuit traces". Eventoff, however shows the concept of using an insulative material covered with circuit traces (col. 6, lines 13-20) is old. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize the insulative material of Stanek in the keyboard of Stanek-Schneither to provide a keyboard having switching circuit assemblies without spacers to effect electrical isolation between opposing circuit traces and switch circuits.

Conclusion

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action

is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to **Abbas Abdulselam** whose telephone number is (703) 305-8591. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday (9:00-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Hjerpe, can be reached at (703) 305-4709.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology center 2600 customer Service office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

XIAO WU PRIMARY EXAMINER

Abbas Abdulselam

Examiner

Art Unit 2674